

DAVID H. KRAMER, SBN 168452  
 MENG JIA YANG, SBN 311859  
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
 Professional Corporation  
 650 Page Mill Road  
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
 Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  
 Email: dkramer@wsgr.com  
 Email: mjyang@wsgr.com

BRIAN M. WILLEN (*pro hac vice*)  
 BENJAMIN D. MARGO (*pro hac vice*)  
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &  
 ROSATI  
 Professional Corporation  
 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor  
 New York, NY 10019-6022  
 Telephone: (212) 999-5800  
 Facsimile: (212) 999-5801  
 Email: bwillen@wsgr.com  
 Email: bmargo@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
 YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI

*[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]*

ANDREI POPOVICI, SBN 234820  
 MARIE FIALA, SBN 79676  
 LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.  
 2121 North California Blvd., #290  
 Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
 Telephone: (650) 530-9989  
 Facsimile: (650) 530-9990  
 Email: andrei@apatent.com  
 Email: marie@apatent.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 DONALD J. TRUMP, the Forty-Fifth President  
 of the United States, KELLY VICTORY,  
 AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN  
 CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDREW  
 BAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN-  
 LOUIS, NAOMI WOLF, FRANK  
 VALENTINE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND ON  
 BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY  
 SITUATED

*[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 OAKLAND DIVISION

DONALD J. TRUMP, KELLY VICTORY,  
 AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN  
 CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDREW  
 BAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN-  
 LOUIS, NAOMI WOLF, and FRANK  
 VALENTINE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 4:21-cv-08009-JSW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER SETTING BRIEFING  
 SCHEDULE FOR PRELIMINARY  
 INJUNCTION MOTION AND  
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

AS MODIFIED HEREIN

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules, 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, and the Court's Civil Standing Order, Plaintiffs Donald J. Trump, Kelly Victory, Austen Fletcher, American Conservative Union, Andrew Baggiani, Maryse Veronica Jean-Louis, Naomi Wolf, and Frank Valentine, and Defendants YouTube, LLC and Sundar Pichai (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record submit the following Stipulation:

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2021, certain of the Plaintiffs filed the Complaint in this action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida, seeking an injunction and damages against Defendants for alleged violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and a declaratory judgment that 47 U.S.C. § 230 is unconstitutional, and seeking to represent a nationwide class (Dkt. 1);

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump and others filed similar complaints in *Donald J. Trump et al. v. Facebook, Inc. and Mark Zuckerberg*, No. 1:21-cv-22440 (S.D. Fla.), and *Donald J. Trump et al. v. Twitter, Inc. and Jack Dorsey*, No. 1:21-cv-22441 (S.D. Fla.), both of which also seek an injunction and damages for alleged violations of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and declaratory judgments that 47 U.S.C. § 230 is unconstitutional, and seeking to represent nationwide classes;

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2021, Plaintiffs gave notice to the United States Government pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(a) that their Complaint draws into question the constitutionality of a federal statute (Dkt. 7);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the Amended Complaint on July 27, 2021, reasserting their First Amendment and Declaratory Judgment Act claims and adding two new claims under Florida law, including under Florida's recently enacted "Stop Social Media Censorship Act" (Dkt. 21);

WHEREAS, a constitutional challenge to Florida's "Stop Social Media Censorship Act" is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, which preliminarily enjoined enforcement of the Act by the State Defendants on June 30, 2021, *NetChoice, LLC v. Moody*, 2021 WL 2690876, at \*11-12 (N.D. Fla. June 30, 2021) (Hinkle, J.),

1 *appeal docketed*, No. 21-12355 (11th Cir. July 13, 2021); the order granting the preliminary  
 2 injunction has been appealed to the United States Court of Appeal for the Eleventh Circuit;

3 WHEREAS, on August 16, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump was granted permission by  
 4 Judge K. Michael Moore of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida  
 5 to file a Motion for Preliminary Injunction of up to 30 pages, excluding the title page, indices of  
 6 cases, table of contents, and exhibits, in excess of the default page limits allowed under that  
 7 Court's Local Rules (Dkts. 32-34);

8 WHEREAS, on August 23, 2021, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump filed a Motion for  
 9 Preliminary Injunction, which was 30 pages in length excluding the title page, indices of cases,  
 10 and table of contents, and accompanied by 183 exhibits (Dkts. 43, 45);

11 WHEREAS, on August 31, 2021, Plaintiffs sought waivers of service under Fed. R. Civ.  
 12 P. 4(d) from Defendants, which were signed on behalf of YouTube and Mr. Pichai on August 31,  
 13 2021, setting Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint at  
 14 November 1, 2021 (Dkts. 61-62);

15 WHEREAS, on September 2, 2021, Judge Moore granted Defendants' motion to defer  
 16 setting a briefing schedule on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction pending the Court's ruling  
 17 on Defendants' forthcoming motion to transfer the case from the Southern District of Florida to  
 18 this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) and the forum-selection clause in the YouTube Terms  
 19 of Service agreement (Dkt. 56);

20 WHEREAS, on September 2, 2021, Judge Moore stayed the deadline for the United  
 21 States Government to intervene in this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(c), pending a ruling  
 22 on Defendants' forthcoming motion to transfer (*id.*);

23 WHEREAS, on September 17, 2021 Defendants filed a motion to transfer this action  
 24 from the Southern District of Florida to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) (Dkt. 64);

25 WHEREAS, on October 5, 2021, the parties filed a Joint Scheduling Report in which  
 26 they proposed to defer setting a full calendar of case deadlines until after resolution of the  
 27 Defendants' pending motion to transfer to the Northern District of California (Dkt. 69);  
 28

1 WHEREAS, on October 6, 2021, Judge Moore granted Defendants' motion to transfer  
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) and denied all pending motions, including the Motion for  
3 Preliminary Injunction, as moot in light of the order granting the motion to transfer (Dkt. 70);

4 WHEREAS, the action was transferred to this District on October 13, 2021 (Dkt. 71);

5 WHEREAS, following the recusal of two other Judges of this Court, this case was  
6 assigned to the Honorable Jeffrey S. White on October 21, 2021 (Dkt. 88);

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Donald J. Trump has indicated his intention to renew his Motion  
8 for Preliminary Injunction in this Court;

9 WHEREAS, Defendants intend to respond to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint by filing a  
10 motion to dismiss all claims pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and to oppose Plaintiff's  
11 renewed Motion for Preliminary Injunction;

12 WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that briefing on the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary  
13 Injunction and Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss will involve multiple complex issues of  
14 law, including a constitutional challenge to a federal statute (47 U.S.C. § 230), as to which the  
15 United States Government may wish to intervene to express its views, and the parties and the  
16 Court will benefit from increasing the default page limits and enlarged time for briefing these  
17 threshold legal issues;

18 WHEREAS, the Parties believe that the presentation of the threshold legal issues raised  
19 by this case will be more efficient if briefing is combined on the Motion for Preliminary  
20 Injunction and Defendants' motion to dismiss.

21 WHEREAS, counsel to the Parties have reviewed the ADR Local Rules and the  
22 Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook, and the parties agree that ADR processes  
23 such as Early Neutral Evaluation, Mediation, and/or a Settlement Conference are unlikely to be  
24 beneficial, and that settlement of the case is unlikely;

25 WHEREAS, there has been no previous modification of Defendants' deadline to answer  
26 or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint;

27 WHEREAS, there is currently no case schedule in place, or any briefing schedule for  
28 Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that they will benefit from and not be prejudiced by the schedule contemplated in this stipulation;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and respectfully ask the Court to enter the attached proposed order, which provides that:

1. Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint shall be extended to December 2, 2021.

2. Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion (Dkt. 43) shall be considered refiled in this Court as is, namely 30 pages in length, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, after being denied as moot in the Southern District of Florida;

3. Defendants' deadline to oppose Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion shall be December 2, 2021.

4. Defendants shall file their opposition to Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion and their anticipated motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) in a single combined brief, not to exceed 35 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits.

5. Plaintiffs' shall file their Reply in support of their Preliminary Injunction Motion, along with their opposition to Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss, in a single combined brief, not to exceed 35 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents and exhibits, by January 12, 2022.

6. Defendants shall file their reply in support of their anticipated motion to dismiss, not to exceed 20 pages, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by February 4, 2022.

7. Any hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or motion to dismiss shall be held at the earliest available hearing date on or after February 18, 2022.

8. The Parties' deadlines to prepare a case management statement and a conference pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, any calendared dates for a conference with the Court regarding discovery, and any ADR deadlines shall be stayed pending

1 this Court's resolution of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Defendants' forthcoming  
2 motion to dismiss.

3 Respectfully submitted.

4 Dated: October 28, 2021

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen

Brian M. Willen  
bwillen@wsgr.com

8 AMIT Q. GRESSEL, SBN 307663  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
One Market Plaza  
Spear Tower, Suite 3300  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Telephone: (415) 947-2000  
Facsimile: (415) 947-2099  
Email: agresel@wsgr.com

13 STEFFEN N. JOHNSON (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor  
Washington, DC 20006-3817  
Telephone: (202) 973-8800  
Facsimile: (202) 973-8899  
Email: sjohnson@wsgr.com

17 Attorneys for Defendants  
18 YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI

19 Dated: October 28, 2021

LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.

By: /s/ Andrei Popovici

Andrei Popovici  
andrei@apatent.com

23 Frank C. Dudenhefer, Jr.  
THE DUDENHEFER LAW FIRM L.L.C.  
2721 Saint Charles Avenue, Suite 2A  
New Orleans, LA 70130  
Telephone: (504) 616-5226  
Email: fcdlaw@aol.com

26 John P. Coale  
2901 Fessenden Street NW  
Washington, DC 20008  
Telephone: (202) 255-2096  
Email: johnpcoale@aol.com

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

John Q. Kelly  
THE KELLY GROUP, P.C.  
516 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 704-0500  
Email: jqkelly@ibolaw.com

Michael J. Jones  
Ryan Tougias  
Roland A. Paul  
Sean M. Hamill  
IVEY, BARNUM & O'MARA  
170 Mason Street  
Greenwich, CT 06830  
Telephone: (203) 661-6000  
Email: mjones@ibolaw.com  
Email: rtougias@ibolaw.com  
Email: rpaul@ibolaw.com

Richard Polk Lawson  
GARDNER BREWER MARTINEZ MONFORT  
400 North Ashley Drive  
Suite 1100  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Telephone: (813) 221-9600  
Facsimile: (813) 221-9611  
Email: rlawson@gbmmlaw.com

Matthew Lee Baldwin  
VARGAS GONZALEZ HEVIA BALDWIN, LLP  
815 Ponce de Leon Blvd.  
Third Floor  
Coral Gables, FL 33134  
Telephone: (305) 631-2528  
Facsimile: (305) 631-2741  
Email: MBaldwin@VargasGonzalez.com

**ATTORNEY ATTESTATION**

I, Brian M. Willen, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories.

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen  
Brian M. Willen



**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT:

1. Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Motion (Dkt. 43) in its present form, namely thirty (30) pages in length, excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, shall be considered re-filed in this Court after being denied as moot in the Southern District of Florida.

2. Defendants' deadline to answer or move to dismiss the Amended Complaint is extended to December 2, 2021.

3. Defendants shall file their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction and any motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a single brief not to exceed 35 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by December 2, 2021.

4. Plaintiffs shall reply in further support of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a single brief not to exceed 35 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by January 12, 2022.

5. Defendants shall reply in further support of the motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, in a brief not to exceed 20 pages excluding title page, indices of cases, table of contents, and exhibits, by February 4, 2022.

6. ~~Any hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or Defendant's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint shall be set for the earliest available hearing date on or after February 18, 2022.~~  
The Court will schedule a hearing, if necessary, by a separate order.

7. The Parties' deadlines to prepare a case management statement and to confer pursuant to Local Civil Rule 16 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, along with any ADR deadlines, are hereby STAYED pending resolution of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Defendants' forthcoming motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

Dated: October 29, 2021

  
HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE